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ABSTRACT

The study was conducted in Koffikro-Afféma village located in the South-East of Côte d’Ivoire to assess the morpho-physical and chemical quality of soils under cocoa farm, for the management of early degradation of orchards. Representative soil samples were taken by horizon under degraded cocoa farms after a morpho-pedological characterisation. These samples were then analysed in the laboratory for fertility parameters. The results indicate that the cocoa farms have a high rate of degradation and the study made it possible to identify the soil characteristics associated not only with the degradation of cocoa trees, but also with the drop in yield. These are the rate of coarse grains greater than 50%, the low organic matter content (less than 3.5%), a very slow rate of mineralisation (C/N > 12), poor internal drainage and the acidic pH of the soil (4.4-5.5). The soils surveyed are highly desaturated to moderately saturated and the main characteristics of the absorbing complex indicate that they are unfavourable for cocoa. Indeed, these soils are deficient in K⁺ (<0.2 cmol/kg), Ca²⁺ (between 5.1 and 11.41 cmol/Kg) and assimilable Nitrogen (<0.2 cmol/Kg). Similarly, the Mg²⁺/K⁺ ratio of less than 3 shows that they are magnesium deficient. The results also revealed an influence of the topographical position on the degradation of cocoa trees with, on the upper and middle slopes, strongly gravelly and poorly drained horizons, associated with the degradation of cocoa trees. On the other hand, on the lower slopes, these soils are deep, not gravelly, with good growth of cocoa trees and low yields.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Côte d’Ivoire is known for having a cocoa orchard representing more than 75% of the area under cultivation [1] over a surface area of nearly 2,300,000 ha [2], making it the world’s leading producer and exporter of cocoa beans [3]. However, for some years now, the actors in this sector have been confronted with the scarcity of cultivable land and have rushed to buy any piece of land they could find without really taking into account the suitability of the soil for cocoa farming. It is accepted that the choice of soil is decisive from a physical, chemical and physicochemical point of view, not only for the success of replanting, but also and above all for the sustainability of the farms [4]-[6].

The best performances in cocoa production in Côte d’Ivoire have been obtained in the eastern and central-western production regions. However, for more than two decades, while the creation of cocoa plantations has taken off considerably in the South-West [7], the South-East zone, which has traditionally been more productive [8], has been subjected to a deterioration of the orchards on certain farms. This is the case, for example, in Koffikro-Afféma, a village located in the region of Aboisso in the south-east of Côte d’Ivoire, where the cocoa farms, after only 14 years of operation, are experiencing a significant drop in production, thus causing enormous difficulties for the farmers in the area. Compared to the orchards in the Central-Western region of Côte d’Ivoire, cocoa plantations in the Central-Western region generally reach 30 years of operation [9], [10], which is the opposite of Koffikro-Afféma.

It therefore seems judicious to investigate the causes of the early degradation of cocoa orchards from a pedological point of view in order to provide solutions, if possible.

II. MATERIALS ET METHODS

A. Characteristics of the Study Site

1) Geographic setting

The village of Koffikro-Afféma is located in the department of Aboisso in south-eastern Côte d’Ivoire, 16 km from the town of Maferé, between longitudes 5°9 and 6°10 W and latitudes 4°9 and 5°10 N (Fig. 1).
2) Relief and hydrography

The environment brings together a diversity of geomorphological landscapes. It is very uneven in the north-east (Ayamé and Bianouan) and in the east (Maféré). In the north, a plateau and a plain alternate, shaped by the shale bedrock debris. The plateau is traversed by a dense and structured hydrographic network with rare topographical accidents. Apart from a few armour-clad hills, the gradients on this relief are moderate. The monotonous interior plain has lower gradients than the plateau [11]. The department of Aboisso is drained by a main river, the Bia, which crosses it from north to south and is watered by numerous rivers, namely the tributaries of the Bia and the Tanoé [12].

3) Climate and vegetation

The department of Aboisso benefits from a hot and rainy equatorial climate (Attiéen climate). This climate is characterised by abundant rainfall, with an average height of around 1,500 mm over the last ten years [12]. It is characterised by high atmospheric humidity (85% per year), high but not excessive temperatures (25°C on average), constant throughout the year, and low temperature ranges of less than 5°C. The duration of sunshine varies between 1500 and 2000 hours per year. The rainfall regime is bimodal with two periods of rainfall and two periods of low rainfall called dry seasons [13].

The vegetation consists of dense evergreen forests and hydromorphic formations [14], coupled with vast agricultural areas composed of cocoa, coffee, oil palm and rubber trees.

4) Geological and soil context

At the geological level, the formations encountered can be grouped into two main groups: metavolcanicites and metasediments [15]. These groups are essentially dominated by chlorite schists and tuffaceous schists. Intrusions of granites, diorites, metatonalites, metagabbros and metadolerites are observed. The south-western part of the Aboisso district is covered by a thin sedimentary layer made up of sand, clay and ferruginous sandstone known as "high plateau".

The soils of the Aboisso department belong to the ferralsol group, which are strongly leached under heavy rainfall. These soils remain of poor chemical quality, although generally deep [12]. They include Ferralsols on eruptive and metamorphic rocks (granite, schist, and basic rocks), with good water retention, suitable for perennial and annual crops (plantain, food crops, oil palm, coffee and cocoa); ferralsols on tertiary sands, of poor quality at great depths, allowing the cultivation of coffee, cocoa, oil palm and pineapple; soils developed on quaternary sands on which only coconut cultivation is feasible; hydromorphic soils that are much less extensive.

B. Study Methods

1) Choice of plot of land

The choice of the plot of land was based on criteria such as the age of the orchards, the state of degradation of the cocoa trees, the good follow-up of the orchard maintenance protocol, and the topographical position. Thus, a plot of 2 ha was selected where the orchards are 14 years old for a planting density of about 1300 trees per hectare, i.e., a total of 2600 trees. The orchards are 3×2.5m in size and in a markedly degraded state.

2) Morpho-pedological characterisation

The study of the plot's soils was carried out by setting up a toposequence along the N184° direction, respecting the standards of a system from the top to the bottom of the slope as defined by [16]. Five (5) soil pits, each 120 cm deep and noted from the top to the bottom of the slope, respectively P1, P2, P3, P4 and P5, were opened at every 50 m, in accordance with the observation scale (1/5000).

The pits were described considering data on the physical environment (slope, topographic position, bedrock), on the horizons (thickness, colour, moisture, organic matter content, texture, percentage of coarse elements, structure, cohesion, porosity, rooting, root orientation, drainage class, clearness and shape of horizon boundaries) according to the guidelines of [17].

Texture was defined by the tactile field method and the nature and proportion of coarse elements. Internal drainage was assessed from the colour of the soil and the soil type was identified according to the WRB classification [18]. A total of eighteen (18) samples were described and collected, representative of the pit horizons, including four (4) in each of the pits P1, P2, P3 and P4 and two (2) in the P5 pit. In addition to these 18 samples, a composite auger sample at a depth of 0-20 cm was taken in the vicinity of each pit.

3) Evaluation of the condition of cocoa trees

Around each soil pit, a square mesh of fifty (50) meters side centered on the profile was formed to assess the condition of the cocoa trees. Thus, the cocoa trees described as being in good condition have mostly healthy pods with good vegetative condition where the leaves do not show signs of water deficiency and the tree canopy is generally well developed (Fig. 2).

On the other hand, the cocoa trees described as degraded have a more or less pronounced aspect of senescence, with mostly rotten pods and a poor vegetative state with leaves showing signs of water deficiency (Fig. 3). From these two criteria, the number of degraded cocoa trees and the number of non-degraded cocoa trees were evaluated to estimate the rate of degradation of the plot land. In total, three hundred and twenty (320) cocoa trees were described on the first four (4) topographical positions, namely the upper slope, the middle slope, the upper 1/3 of the lower slope and the lower 1/3 of

Fig. 1. Study site location.

Fig. 2. Cocoa trees in good and degraded condition.

Fig. 3. Cocoa leaves showing signs of water deficiency.
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the lower slope. One hundred and seventy (170) cocoa trees were described in the lowlands.

4) Processing and analysis of samples

The eighteen (18) samples taken were sent to the Pedology laboratory of the University Félix Houphouët-Boigny in Abidjan (Côte d'Ivoire) and dried in the shade in the open air for three days. They were then sieved using a 2 mm diameter sieve and packed in plastic bags.

A portion of each of the 18 samples was sent to the Geomaterials laboratory of the Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny for determination of particle size by the Robinson pipette method and of pH. The five (5) samples taken from the 0-20cm horizons were processed in the soil analysis laboratory of the Ecole Supérieure d'Agronomie de l'Institut National Polytechnique Houphouët-Boigny de Yamoussoukro (I.N.P.H.B.) for the determination of nitrogen, exchangeable bases (K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺), cation exchange capacity (CEC), organic carbon, pH of H₂O and pH of KCl.

The measurement of pH of H₂O (effective acidity) and pH of KCl (reserve acidity) of the soil samples was obtained by the electrometric method using a pH meter with a glass electrode. This determination was carried out on different samples, in a soil/solution ratio of 1/2.5 and in triplicates [19].

Total organic carbon was determined by the method of Walkley and Black [20], using oxidation in a mixture of potassium dichromate and sulphuric acid, while total nitrogen (total N) was determined by the Kjeldahl method [21]. The organic matter content was calculated by multiplying the carbon value obtained by 1.72.

The CEC was determined by the Metson method (1956) and the determination of exchangeable bases was carried out by fully saturating the adsorbent complex with a monovalent cation NH⁴⁺ (ammonium acetate buffered pH 7). The excess of this cation was then removed by washing with ethyl alcohol and determining the NH⁴⁺ by distillation and titration. The saturation rate of the adsorbent complex (V) was determined by the ratio of cation exchange capacity to the sum of exchangeable bases, multiplied by one hundred (100).

5) Statistical analysis of the data

The different data obtained from the laboratory analyses were processed with the XLSTAT 2014 software to establish correlations between the physical and chemical parameters. The variables were estimated at P<0.05 according to Pearson's correlations.

III. RESULTS

A. Soil Morphology

The soils described are Cambisols, especially for profiles P1, P2, P3 and P4. Profile P5, on the other hand, is a Gleysol (Fig. 4 to 8).

The soils on the upper and middle slopes have similar morphological characteristics. They are generally brown (7.5YR 4/4 to 7.5YR 4/6) in the first horizons, with a sandy-clay-silt texture with more than 50% coarse elements. Drainage is average. From 40 cm, the texture is sandy-clay with more than 50% of coarse grains (quartz and ferruginous concretions) with poor drainage.

In the surface layer of the lower slope soils, the colour varies from dark grey (10YR3/2) to dark greyish brown (10YR 4/2) with a sandy-clay texture. In contrast, in the deeper horizons, the soils range in colour from brownish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) to reddish yellow (7.5YR 7/8) with a coarse element content of over 50% and good drainage.

Fig. 4. P1 profile open to the upper slope (Pseudogleyic Plinthic Cambisol).
Overall, they are deep with a dominant sandy-clay texture, except for the first few horizons which have a sandy-silty to sandy-clay texture. The colours vary from 2.5YR; 5YR; 7.5YR to 10YR, with patches in the red ochre to yellow ochre range. The structure is generally polyhedral and sub-angular.

### B. State of Degradation of Cocoa Trees

Table I shows the distribution of cocoa trees observed by topographic segment and the evaluation of their state of degradation. In the upper slope, 80% of 280 cocoa trees analysed are degraded. In the middle slope, 60% of 305 cocoa trees are degraded. In the 1/3 of the lower slope, 25% of 315 cocoa trees observed are degraded. As for the 80 trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sectors</th>
<th>Total cocoa trees observed</th>
<th>Number not degraded</th>
<th>Number degraded</th>
<th>% Non-degraded cocoa trees</th>
<th>% degraded cocoa trees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HV</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3&gt;BV</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3&lt;BV</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HV: High slope MV: Middle slope 1/3>BV: 1/3 Upper Lowland 1/3<BV: 1/3 Lower Lowland BF: Shallow areas.
observed in the lower 1/3 of the slope, only 20% are degraded. On the other hand, 80% are degraded in the lowland area on 30 plants observed.

C. Granulometry

The Granulometry of the horizons is shown in Table II. The majority of the soils have a silty-sandy-clay to sandy-silty texture at the surface and a sandy-clay texture at depth. On the whole, they are poor in silt (less than 15%) beyond a depth of 40 cm. As for the coarse grain texture at depth, as was the case for the soil to become more acidic at deeper depths. As for pH, the soils are acidic, with a higher acidity at greater depths. As for pH of H2O, varies from 4.40 to 5.54 and indicates that the soils are acidic, with a higher acidity at greater depths. As for pH of KCl, which is the exchange acidity, it varied from 3.68 to 4.17, with a tendency for the soil to become more acidic at depth, as was the case with pH of H2O. The difference between the two varied from 0.98 to 1.60 with a pH of KCl lower than the pH of H2O.

D. Acidity of the Studied Soils

Fig. 9 and 10 highlight the evolution of pH of H2O and pH of KCl, respectively depending on the depth. The active acidity, determined by the pH of H2O, varies from 4.40 to 5.54 and indicates that the soils are acidic, with a higher acidity at greater depths. As for pH of KCl, which is the exchange acidity, it varied from 3.68 to 4.17, with a tendency for the soil to become more acidic at depth, as was the case with pH of H2O. The difference between the two varied from 0.98 to 1.60 with a pH of KCl lower than the pH of H2O.

E. Organic Matter Content in the Surface part of the Soils (Horizons 0-20cm)

The results presented in Table III indicate that in the 0-20 cm horizons, the soils studied have a low organic nitrogen content (less than 0.15%) and total organic carbon (less than 2%) and are relatively poor in organic matter (less than 3.5%) from top to bottom. The calculated C/N ratio is therefore high and above 12.

TABLE II: Texture of Surveyed Soils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>Horizons (cm)</th>
<th>Clay (%)</th>
<th>Silt (%)</th>
<th>Sand (%)</th>
<th>EG (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0-8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36.75</td>
<td>53.25</td>
<td>81.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-34</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22.35</td>
<td>66.65</td>
<td>88.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34-54</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>19.10</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>92.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54-120</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>64.25</td>
<td>72.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0-9</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>47.05</td>
<td>44.45</td>
<td>42.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9-45</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>38.65</td>
<td>45.15</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45-75</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>55.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75-120</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>78.25</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45.35</td>
<td>44.65</td>
<td>30.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>50.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50-75</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>52.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75-120</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>43.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>0-17</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>55.15</td>
<td>10.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17-24</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>53.25</td>
<td>20.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EG: Coarse grain.

TABLE III: Organic Matter Measured at 0-20cm Depth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sectors</th>
<th>C (%)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>MO (%)</th>
<th>C/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HV</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>16.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>11.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3-BV</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3-BV</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>31.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C: organic carbon; N: nitrogen; MO: organic matter.

F. Determination of Exchangeable bases, Calculation of the CEC and Saturation Rate of the 0-20 cm Horizons

On the whole, the soils on the 0-20cm horizon are relatively poor in exchangeable bases with very low contents not exceeding 2.25 cmol/kg. Ca\textsuperscript{2+} contents vary between 0.28 cmol/Kg and 0.53 cmol/Kg, Mg\textsuperscript{2+} contents between 0.17 and 0.25cmol/Kg and K\textsuperscript{+} contents between 0.09 and 0.22 cmol/Kg. In terms of K\textsuperscript{+}, only the bottom soil has a content of over 1.68 cmol/Kg. These soils are highly desaturated to moderately saturated with levels ranging from 8.44 to 43.96% from the upper slope to the lower slope (Table IV). Indeed, the soils of the upper, middle and lower slopes show a very high desaturation with a rate lower than 15. Only the lowland soil shows an average saturation (V=43.96%<50%).
G. Cation Balance Ratios

The values of cation balance ratios are recorded in Table V. For the Ca<sup>2+</sup>/Mg<sup>2+</sup> ratio, the highest value is observed in the middle slope, while the lowest is in the lowland. For the Mg<sup>2+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio, the values range from 0.78 < Mg<sup>2+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> < 2.23 in the upper to lower slope soils, in contrast to the values in the lowland below 0.5. The Ca<sup>2+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio on this site is very low (0.17 to 5.99) and only on the middle slope does it approach 6. As for the K<sup>+</sup>/Ca<sup>2+</sup> + Mg<sup>2+</sup>) and K<sup>+</sup>/CEC ratios, the values are very low (K<sup>+</sup>/Ca<sup>2+</sup> + Mg<sup>2+</sup>) < 2 and K<sup>+</sup>/CEC < 30%) in the soils from the upper to the lower slopes. In contrast, they are high (K<sup>+</sup>/Ca<sup>2+</sup> + Mg<sup>2+</sup>) > 2 and K<sup>+</sup>/CEC > 30% in the lowland soils.

![Graph showing degradation rate vs. pH](image)

**Fig. 12.** Influence of EG on cocoa trees development.

![Graph showing pH vs. degradation rate](image)

**Fig. 11.** Influence of pH on cocoa trees development.

H. Influence of pH and Coarse Grains (EG) on the Development of Cocoa Trees

The relationship between the state of degradation of cocoa trees reveals that for pH values < 5, there are more degraded cocoa trees than non-degraded ones. On the other hand, at pH > 5, there are more non-degraded cocoa trees than degraded ones (Fig. 11). Compared to the levels of coarse grain, cocoa trees are more degraded at percentages above 50. This is the case on the upper and middle slopes with 80% of cocoa trees degraded. On the other hand, on the lower slopes, the rate of coarse grains being less than 50%, the state of degradation of cocoa trees is low (Fig. 12).

![Table IV: Exchangeable Bases Dosed in 0-20 cm Soil Horizon](image)

**TABLE IV: EXCHANGEABLE BASES DOSED IN 0-20CM SOIL HORIZON**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sectors</th>
<th>Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt; (cmol/kg)</th>
<th>Mg&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt; (cmol/kg)</th>
<th>K&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (cmol/kg)</th>
<th>Na&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (cmol/kg)</th>
<th>CEC (cmol/kg)</th>
<th>V (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HV</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>8.440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3&lt;BV</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>11.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3&lt;SV</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>13.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>1.684</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>43.961</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table V: Cation Balance Ratios](image)

**TABLE V: CATION BALANCE RATIOS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;/Mg&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Mg&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;/K&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;/K&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>K&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt; + Mg&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>K&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/CEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HV</td>
<td>2.133</td>
<td>0.02138</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV</td>
<td>2.685</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3&lt;BV</td>
<td>1.685</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF</td>
<td>2.331</td>
<td>1.764</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3&lt;SV</td>
<td>1.624</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I. Correlations between pH, Exchangeable Bases and Organic Matter

Table VI presents the correlative studies between pH, exchangeable bases, nitrogen and organic matter. The results indicate that there is a significant correlation between Ca<sup>2+</sup> and C/N at P=0.02 and less significant between pH and K<sup>+</sup> at P=0.08.

![Table VI: Correlation Between Dosed Elements](image)

**TABLE VI: CORRELATION BETWEEN DOSED ELEMENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>K&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Mg&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Na&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>C/N</th>
<th>MO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/N</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. DISCUSSION

The soils highlighted in this study show plinthic, manganiferic, endo and pseudogleyric character in the upper and middle slope topographic positions, while in the lower slope the character is colluvic. These Cambisols appear similar to those studied by [23] in the same area. All the topographical positions are subject to exploitation, as explained by [10], leading to an extension by the farmers until the available land is exhausted. Moreover, the cocoa trees in some of these positions are degraded, leaving the place to abandoned surfaces, transformed into fallow land or reconverted into food crops [9]. The soils studied are characterised by a high rate of coarse grains and poor drainage on the upper and middle slopes, and the opposite on the lower slopes. Thus, they reveal the influence of topography in their distribution. According to [24], this...
influence is manifested by the shape of the relief, erosion, leaching and hydromorphy.

The abundance of coarse grains (EG>50%) is the main morphological constraint of the soils of the upper and middle slopes. This is in line with [25] who, in their study in the south-western region of Côte d'Ivoire, pointed out that coarse grains are frequently observed on these topographic positions. Furthermore, [26], [27], indicate that coarse grains with a weight rate above 50% considerably reduce the water in the soil, as well as the volume of usable soil. And, according to [5], coarse grains, when contained in a horizon whose thickness is greater than 25 cm, can modify the trajectory, change the appearance or stop the growth of the taproot of the cocoa tree leading to an early mortality (at 2 years) of around 13% on soils with little or no gravel and 53% on soils with a lot of gravel [28].

Soil depths greater than 120 cm on the upper and middle slopes would be a good criterion for cocoa production as indicated by [29]. However, [25] showed that the upper and middle slopes are unfavourable for cocoa production in the southwest of Côte d'Ivoire because of induration phenomena that are observed at less than one metre.

The soils studied in the high and medium slopes are poorly drained, characterised by the presence of hydromorphy, which is a parameter of cocoa tree degradation, as underlined by [6] who noted it in the Centre-West of Côte d'Ivoire on the same topographic positions. In fact, the cocoa tree is very sensitive to prolonged excess water, causing redox phenomena, a source of asphyxiation [30]. Studies have also shown that prolonged and excessive humidity in the soil has a negative effect on the development of the cocoa tree [31].

Also, according to [32], hydromorphy or excess water decreases the availability of oxygen for plants in the soil, which can lead to the cessation of growth and then the death of cocoa trees.

The soils studied are acidic (pH < 5.8) and this acidity is probably not only due to H+ protons but could also be due to acidifying ions such as Al3+, Fe3+, Mn2+ which, in addition to acidification, can cause aluminium, ferrous or manganic toxicity. According to [33], at acid pH, nitrification, phosphorus deficiency, aluminium or manganese toxicity and the availability of certain heavy metals are reduced in the soil. One could therefore attribute the drop in yields and the degradation of cocoa trees encountered at Koffikro-Affema on the basis of this information, especially since [34] in Ghana and [35] in Togo, emphasise that cocoa trees experience a considerable drop in yield and even mortality of young plants on soils with an acidic pH (4.5 to 6), corresponding to the pH of the soils studied.

According to [7], cocoa crops require a minimum of 3.5% organic matter in the first 15 cm. However, this is not the case for the soils studied, because on the toposequence, the soils are moderately poor in organic matter and have a high C/N ratio, with a low organic carbon and nitrogen content from the top to the bottom of the slope. According to [36], this reflects a slow decomposition of organic matter. This low mineralisation activity of soil organic matter can be linked, on the one hand, to the acidity of the soil which inhibits nitrification and limits mineralisation [37], [38] and, on the other hand, to the sandy texture of the soil which limits the proliferation of microorganisms and those involved in the mineralisation of organic matter. The sum of exchangeable bases is low in the studied soils. [7] made the same observation and indicated that soils under cocoa trees in the south-west of Côte d'Ivoire have a low sum of exchangeable bases. These soils are strongly desaturated to moderately saturated (V<50%) corroborating [39] who estimated that moderately or strongly desaturated soils are highly represented in tropical areas with saturation rates between 20 and 60%. It thus constitutes a constraint to cocoa farming, since according to [40], a saturation rate of less than 60% is a factor of nutritional imbalance for cocoa trees. CEC levels between 5.1 and 11.41 cmol/kg are insufficient to initiate the optimal development of cocoa trees, because as noted by [40], the minimum level should be 12 cmol/kg. Also, according to [23], the low CEC content of a soil is not without consequences on the mineral nutrition of the cocoa tree, which could experience problems of iron toxicity.

Ca2+ values between 0.281 and 0.529 cmol/kg are low. For [33], exchangeable calcium deficiencies normally occur in soils with low CEC and pH ≤ 5.5, which is the case of the studied plot. For Mg2+, the levels are 0.157 to 0.248 cmol/kg, below the threshold value 0.5 cmol/kg indicated for tropical regions by [41]. These soils are therefore deficient in magnesium, especially since the Mg2+/K+ ratio is less than 3, which shows that they are magnesium deficient [29]. The Ca2+/Mg2+ ratio lower than 3 indicates an imbalance, reducing phosphorus uptake and therefore a phosphorus deficiency for the soils studied. These results corroborate those of [7], which showed that all soils under cocoa trees in the southwest of Côte d'Ivoire have deficits in assimilable phosphorus. Thus, the soils of Koffikro-Affema are deficient in Ca2+ and Mg2+ predisposing the cocoa trees to early degradation (disease), thus agreeing with [42] who stated that cocoa trees deficient in Ca2+ and Mg2+ are less resistant to pod rot. According to [43], the threshold value for K+ is 0.2 cmol/kg, whereas the soils studied are below this. There is therefore a K+ deficiency, especially on the upper and middle slopes and on the lower 1/3 of the lower slopes.

V. CONCLUSION

The study conducted in a cocoa farm in Koffikro-Affema made it possible to determine the morphological and chemical characteristics of the soils in this locality. This study highlighted the morphological constraints to cocoa farming, which are: (1) poor internal drainage, (2) the high load of coarse grains on the upper and middle slopes, and chemical constraints, namely: (3) acidic pH, (4) low organic matter content, (5) absorbent complex characteristics and unfavourable chemical balances. The study also showed that there is a relationship between the topographic position and the degradation of the cocoa trees, more marked on the higher parts of the slope than on the lower parts and that at the level of pH, when it is less acidic (low), the CEC value is low. Similarly, when the pH is more acidic (high), the availability of nutrients such as calcium and magnesium is reduced. Thus, the constraints to the development of cocoa trees in this locality are not only linked to certain physical properties of the soil, but also that the degradation of cocoa trees is dependent on the topography. As a result, the soils of the
lower slopes are the most favourable for cocoa production in Koffikro-Affema.
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