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ABSTRACT

The production of biscuits utilizing ripe banana peel flour (BPF) as a wheat flour (WF) alternative is presented in this study. Locally available Sabri banana peel was dried into a cabinet dryer at 65°C for 8 hours. The dried peel then turned into powder and sieved. The flours used in the preparation of the biscuits were analyzed for proximate composition. BPF contained a higher amount of fat compared to WF. Five samples of biscuits S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 substituting WF with 0, 5, 10, 20, and 30% BPF in the standard biscuits were prepared. S1 denoted the control sample adding no BPF. The proximate composition and sensory quality of the produced biscuits were tested. All of the biscuit samples had greater weights than the control biscuit. In comparison to the control biscuit, BPF enriched biscuits had reduced diameter. Biscuits made with 10% BPF had a greater spread ratio than those made with other ingredients. The moisture, protein, fat, and total sugar content of biscuit samples were found within the range of 4.05-5.32%, 6.60-9.00%, 10.00-10.32%, and 17.00-25.00%, respectively. A sharp decrease in protein content was observed with the increase of BPF in the biscuits. A slight increase of fat was observed in the BPF substituted biscuits. The ash content in the control sample was 1.5%, and that in the banana peel substituted samples ranged from 1.80% to 2.0%. BPF substitution showed a downward trend in terms of color, flavor, texture, and general acceptability. The panelists rated sample S1 as having the highest overall acceptability. Among the BPF-containing biscuits, sample S2 had the best overall acceptance, followed by samples S3, S4, and S5. In terms of general acceptability, however, there are no notable variations between samples S2 and S3. According to sensory analysis, quality BPF biscuits may be prepared by replacing up to 10% of the WF with BPF.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Agricultural by-products are getting more attention from many researchers and food manufacturers by converting the by-product into food. By-products of vegetables and plants are normally discarded after harvesting or processing as they are not usually used in food production [1]. Several studies reported on the production of food products using by-products and proved that it could improve the food's nutritional value and dietary fiber [2]-[5]. Many researchers are getting more interested in utilizing the usage of by-products. Banana peel is an important by-product rich in different nutrients that have been used to produce enormous food products [2].

Most developing countries, such as Bangladesh, have unused banana peels, which account for about 40% of the entire weight of fresh bananas. [6], [7]. Turning the peel into BPF is one approach to using this valuable by-product. This product can be developed into new products with standardized compositions and functional qualities for a range of manufacturing and household applications. Banana peel extract has more antioxidants and phenolic components than banana pulp, indicating that the peels could be used in a broad range of foods and nutritional supplements. [2], [5], [8], [9]. Banana peel's chemical composition and amount of resistant starch (RS) influence its potential uses and functional properties [10], [11]. Banana peel nutrients can lower the risk of heart disease and may also help with weight management strategies [2], [12].

There has been a surge in consumer interest in vitamins, minerals, unsaturated fatty acids, bioactive substances, and fiber in food items in the recent decade due to the increased focus on functional food products and consumer health and wellness. The reuse of banana waste produced, including peel, might enhance raw material output and, as a result, alleviate the food industry’s massive waste disposal problems. In many studies, WF has been substituted with flour from fruits waste or by-products to produce bakery items because of specific eating habits, new consumer behavior, economic reasons, and commercial necessities. However, bakery items from composite flour are not common in Bangladesh. Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the nutritional quality of WF and BPF composite biscuits determining proximate compositions and acceptability of Bangladeshi consumers.
II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Raw Materials

The study was carried out in the Department of Food Technology and Rural Industries, Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU), Mymensingh. Locally purchased ripe Sabri bananas, WF (Teer brand), eggs, sugar, milk powder, baking powder, salt, and other ingredients were used in this study. Reagent-grade chemicals and food-grade polythene were supplied from the laboratory stocks.

B. Preparation of BPF

Bananas were washed in clean water to remove adhering dirt, filth, and other undesirable. The bananas were then washed and peeled using a knife, with the skins cut into 1.0 cm thick slices. After that, the slices were steam blanched to destroy the existing enzymes. The blanched banana peels were dried on stainless steel trays in a cabinet dryer at 65 °C for 8 hours. After allowing the dry pieces to cool to room temperature, they were ground in a crushing mill and sieved to get fine flour. After that, the flour is stored in plastic bags for future use.

C. Preparation of BPF Substituted Biscuits

Table I illustrates the basic formulation for preparing BPF substituted biscuits. Five samples were made. Sample S1 was a control in which no BPF was added. On the other hand, samples S2, S3, S4, and S5 contained 5%, 10%, 20%, and 30% BPF, respectively. The pre-weighed sugar, salt, egg, and hydrogenated fat (Dalda) were mixed until the sugar dissolved. The required amount of WF and BPF, baking powder, and vanilla were added and combined well to produce appropriate dough. After letting the dough rest for a bit, it was rolled out to a uniform thickness of 3 mm. With a 4 cm diameter cutter, the sheet was cut into the required form and size of biscuits, then cooked for 10 to 15 minutes at 180 to 210 °C. It was then allowed to cool to room temperature before being packaged in plastic bags. The process was the same for all of the formulas.

D. Determination of Proximate Composition

Proximate composition of BPF, WF, and BPF substituted biscuits were determined using published methods of AOAC [13]. The amount of carbohydrate was obtained mathematically, i.e., deducting the determined protein, moisture, fat, and ash from 100. All parameters were measured in triplicate, and the mean value was taken for comparison.

E. Determination of Spread Ratio

The spread ratio is an important parameter to evaluate the baking quality of a product. The following equation measured the spread ratio of BPF substituted biscuits:

\[
\text{Spread ratio} = \frac{\text{Diameter} (D)}{\text{Thickness} (T)}
\]

Here, D is the mean diameter (cm), and T is the mean thickness (cm).

F. Sensory Evaluation of Prepared Biscuits

A sensory testing panel assessed the consumer perception of prepared biscuits, whereas a hedonic scale was used to obtain individual scores. The participants were selected at random. The panelists rated their acceptability of the product on a 1-9 point hedonic scale. Panelists scored the product's acceptability on a 1-9 point hedonic scale. The scale was constructed in such a way that score 9 indicates like extremely, whereas score 1 indicates dislike extremely.

G. Statistical Analysis

Data collected from the sensory evaluation were analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and the mean procedure of SAS (Statistical Analysis System). The mean differences were detected using Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Statistical analyses were done using STAR (Statistical Tools for Agricultural Research) and WASP2 statistical internet package software.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Proximate Composition of Banana Peel, BPF, and WF

Banana peel, BPF, and WF were assessed for moisture, protein, crude fat, crude fibre, ash, and total carbohydrate content (Table II). Banana peel has a lower protein level (4.77%) than BPF (10.04%). However, WF had a slightly greater protein level (11.02%) than BPF. On the other hand, BPF had a lower carbohydrate content (54.01%) than WF (74%). Similarly, ash content of BPF was higher than that of WF. The findings of this research agree with that of [9], who reported 7.25-10.04% protein, 4.81-10.04% fat, and 54.01-60.81% carbohydrate in BPF. Reference [2] shows 1.1% protein, 1.7% fat, 0.79% ash, 11.8% carbohydrate in the mature green banana peel. Reference [14] analyzed the WF for moisture, protein, fat, ash, total carbohydrate contents, and the results were 13.83%, 11.66%, 1.36%, 1.13%, and 85.85%, respectively. The variations in these components might result from varietal variances, agro-ecological conditions, analytical methodologies, or time gaps between harvesting and evaluation. [2], [15].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Samples*</th>
<th>S1 (Control)</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>S4</th>
<th>S5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WF (g)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPF (g)</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar (g)</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalda (g)</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk powder (g)</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonate (g)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt (g)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg (g)</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanilla</td>
<td>2 drops</td>
<td>2 drops</td>
<td>2 drops</td>
<td>2 drops</td>
<td>2 drops</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* (WF was replaced with BPF at 5%, 10%, 20%, and 30% substitution level by weight in samples S2, S3, S4 and S5. Sample S1 was the control that contained no BPF).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Banana peel</th>
<th>Banana peel Flour (BPF)</th>
<th>Wheat flour (WF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%)</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>11.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (%)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>18.87</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbohydrate</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>54.01</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>11.09</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II: COMPOSITION OF BANANA PEEL, BPF AND WF
The inclusion of BPF has a noticeable effect on the spread ratio of biscuits. The spread ratio of biscuits containing 10% BPF was greater than the spread ratio of other samples containing BPF, ranging from 7.02 to 7.15. Study [16] found the spread ratio of the cassava biscuits in the range of 6.79 to 7.35. Reference [17] reported the spread ratio of cabbages biscuits in the range of 6.31 to 6.85. Hence, the spread ratio of prepared biscuits samples under study agrees with those reported by other authors. The high spread ratio of prepared biscuits indicates less hydrophilic starch added from plant by-products. However, an increase in the spread ratio might indicate a lack of interaction between the protein and carbohydrate networks in the biscuits [10].

C. Composition of BPF Substituted Biscuits

Five distinct samples of biscuits S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 containing 0, 5, 10, 20, and 30% BPF were prepared, and their chemical parameters were determined to evaluate their quality. Table IV shows the chemical composition of the treatments.

The amount of moisture of all treatments was in the range of 4.05% to 5.32%. Some variations in moisture contents in biscuits might be due to the difference in initial moisture contents in BPF biscuits for baking and subsequent storage conditions and packaging materials. Additionally, as seen in Table IV the moisture level of control biscuits S1 was greater than that of BPF biscuits. This might be because BPF contains more solid content than WF. Study [16] found moisture content in cassava flour biscuits in the range of 4.5 to 5.32. Reference [7] reported moisture content in banana and banana peel-rich cookies in the range of 2.28-3.35%.

The moisture contents in biscuit samples under this study are slightly lower than that of other published studies [18], [19].

The ash level of prepared biscuit samples varied between 1.5 and 2%. The highest ash concentration was observed in sample S1, while the lowest was found in sample S5. Higher BPF addition increased the ash content of several samples as the BPF contains a greater proportion of ash than WF. According to [17], the ash level of cabbages flour biscuits ranges between 1.06 and 1.19%. A wide range of ash contents has been recorded for bread goods containing banana peel powder. [20].

Different biscuit samples S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 contained protein 9.0%, 7.10%, 6.95%, 6.65%, and 6.60%, respectively, indicating that protein content decreases with the increase in BPF in prepared biscuits. So, it may be concluded that low protein BPF substituted biscuits may be processed with the addition of flour at different levels. The fat content of 5 different formulated biscuits samples S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 with different levels of BPF contained 10.1, 10.15, 10.30, and 10.32%, respectively, which indicates that fat content increase with the increase of BPF. The fat content of the BPF biscuit and control biscuit was slightly different. The maximum fat content (10.32%) was found in sample S2, where WF was replaced with 30% BPF, while the lowest fat content (10.00%) was found in sample S1.

Sugar content varied from 17.0 to 27.0% within treatments. Total carbohydrate content was 74.18%, 75.85%, 76.00%, 76.50%, and 76.58% in samples S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5. The total carbohydrate content of biscuits made with BPF was greater than biscuits made without BPF.

D. Sensory Evaluation

Twenty semi-trained panellists examined the biscuits that had been produced. The mean ratings for the biscuits' color, taste, texture, and general acceptability are mostly different. The analysis of variance revealed that all of these sensory features were significantly (P<0.05) different amongst the biscuit samples, indicating that the sensory attributes of the biscuit samples demonstrated variable degrees of acceptance (Table V).

The control biscuit had the highest score (7.47) and considerably varied from the other biscuits incorporating BPF. The remaining four BPF added samples were similarly acceptable in terms of colour, whereas colour scores of biscuits were followed the trend as S1 > S2 > S3 > S4 > S5, with a range of 5.94 to 7.47. In the DMRT test, the highest score was for the taste of the control biscuit (S1), which was substantially different from the biscuit containing 5% BPF (S5). While the taste of biscuits containing 5 to 20% BPF
was acceptable, biscuits using 30% BPF (S3) received the lowest grade. The control biscuit (S1) texture was more favoured (7.07) than those with BPF biscuits. The texture score of biscuits followed the trend as S1 > S2 > S3. However, biscuits made with 20% BPF (S4) and 10% BPF (S5) received the same score (5.80), and no significant difference was identified between samples made with BPF. The biscuit made with 30% BPF (S3) had the lowest score (5.47); in other words, as the proportion of BPF substituted grew, the score for texture preferences fell. There were statistically significant variations in overall acceptability (P<0.05) across the samples. The control sample received the highest rating (7.2) and was statistically different from the other biscuits. However, biscuits were ranked in order of overall acceptability as follows S1 > S2 > S3 > S4 > S5. Sample S2 and S3 have been accepted equally, and no significant difference consists between the two samples. Hence, it can be concluded that 10% BPF may be substituted with 90% WF to prepare BPF supplemented biscuits. However, further study is needed to observe the rheological properties or behaviour of BPF supplemented biscuits.

IV. CONCLUSION

BPF was prepared from blanched, dried peel slices using a crushing machine and sieved to utilize the powder as WF replacer in biscuit. BPF contained a lower amount of protein compared to WF. The biscuit samples moisture, protein, fat, and total sugar contents were found in the range of 4.05-5.32%, 6.60-9.00%, 10.0-10.32%, and 17.00-25.00%, respectively. It was observed that a sharp decrease in protein content occurred with the increase of BPF in the biscuits, whereas a slight decrease in fat content was observed. The BPF fortified biscuits contained a higher amount of ash than the control, indicating that the mineral content was increased due to the addition of BPF. Upon examination of the composition and sensory assessment of the prepared biscuits, it is observed that high-quality BPF enriched biscuits may be manufactured by replacing WF up to 10%. The formulation may be enhanced with the addition of food ingredients and it is observed that high-quality BPF enriched biscuits may be manufactured by replacing WF up to 10%. The formulation may be enhanced with the addition of food ingredients and it is observed that high-quality BPF enriched biscuits may be manufactured by replacing WF up to 10%
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